







Pelvic Binder and Results

- External pelvic fixation (n=93) vs • Trauma pelvic orthotic device (T-POD;n=93)
 - Severe retroperitoneal hematoma, open book or vertical shear
 - SBP: 102 vs 113 mm Hg

- Base excess: -8.5 vs -7.2 meq/L
- ISS: 38.6 vs 33.6 pts.
- · Laparotomy rate: 28% vs 23%

,	
24h transfusions	

48h trans	fusions:	18.6

- Pelvic angiogram · Ventilator assoc. pneumonia
- Mortality

17.1	4.9*	
18.6	5.6*	
23%	23%	
33%	10%*	
37%	26%	





















11.6.2013

Fever, persistent increased CRP

Further management ?



























Poor Test Characteristics for the Digital Rectal

Examination in Trauma Patients Ann Emerg Med. 2007;50:25-33

- 1401 patients
- Composite sensitivity /specificity (any abnormal finding): 22.9% 94.7%
 Spinal cord injury: 37% -96%
- Spinal cord injury: 37% -96
- Bowel injury: 5.7% 98.9%
- Rectal injury: 33.3% 99.8%
- Pelvic fracture: 0% 99.8%
- Urethral disruption: 20% 99%
- Digital rectal examination should not be used as a screening tool for detecting injuries in trauma patients



Fracture Locations Influence the Likelihood of Rectal and Lower Urinary Tract Injuries in Patients Sustaining Pelvic Fractures

Rie Aihara, MD, Joseph S. Blansfield, RN, MS, Frederick H. Millham, MD, FACS, Wayne W. LaMorte, MD, PhD, MPH, and Erwin F. Hirsch, MD, FACS

- · 362 patients: 8 rectal and 24 lower urinary tract injuries
- Multivariate analysis (primary and independent predictors): rectal injury, widened symphysis; bladder injury, widened symphysis and SI joint; urethral injury, widened symphysis and fracture of the inferior pubic ramus
- Predictive values of these radiologic findings were low: 5% to 9% for urethral and rectal injuries to 20% for bladder injuries



Diagnosis of Blunt Intestinal and Mesenteric Injury in the Era of Multidetector CT Technology—Are Results Better?

Akpofure Peter Ekeh, MD, MPH, FACS, Jonathan Saxe, MD, FACS, Mbaga Walusimbi, MD, FACS, Kathryn M. Tchorz, MD, FACS, Randy J. Woods, MD, FACS, Harry L. Anderson, III, MD, FACS, and Mary C. McCarthy, MD, FACS J Trauma 2008;65:354–359

- 82 BBMI recognized in OR between Nov 2000 and Dec 2006
 4 slice CT Nov 2000-July 2005; 16 slice CT July 2005-Dec 2006
- Rectal injuries and serosal tears excluded
- 25 pat. directly to OR for laparotomy (positive diagnostic peritoneal lavage, a positive focused abdominal sonogram or other injury)
- 57 pat. who underwent CT: findings indicating possible BBMI in 46 pat. (80.7%; free fluid without solid organ injury (50.9%), free air (10.5%), active mesenteric bleeding (10.5%), and bowel swelling (5.3%).
- 11 pat. (19.3%) had delayed BBMI with diagnosis ultimately made by repeat CT or in the OR (1–10 days)

Pelvic Fractures: Epidemiology and Predictors of Associated Abdominal Injuries and Outcomes

Demetrios Demetriades, MD, PhD, FACS, Marios Karaiskakis, MD, Konstantinos Toutouzas, MD, Kathleen Alo, RN, George Velmahos, MD, PhD, FACS, Linda Chan, PhD

- hleen Alo, RN, George Velmahos, MD, PhD, FACS, Linda Chan, PhD J Am Coll Surg 2002;195:1-10
- 16,630 trauma registry patients with blunt trauma 1,545 (9.3%) pelvic #
 Overall mortality 13.5% only 0.8% died as direct result of pelvic fracture
- Risk factor for mortality ISS≥25 pts.

	All pelvic fractures (n = 1,545)		Pelvic fractures AIS ≥ 4 (n = 137)		Pelvic fractures AIS ≤3 (n = 1,408)		
Injured organ		5	n	%	n	%	p Value
Liner	94	6.1	14	10.2	80	5.7	0.04
Blacklet and orethin	90	5.8	20	19.6	70	5.0	< 0.001
Spleen	80	5.2	8	5.8	72	5.1	0.68
Diaphragm	33	2.1	5	3.6	28	2.0	0.21
Small bowel	31	2.0	12	8.8	19	1.3	< 0.001
Colon	18	1.2	3	2.2	15	1.1	0.21
Rectum	14	0.9	2	1.5	12	0.9	0.36
Pancreas	6	0.4	0	0	6	0.4	1
Stomach	4	0.3	1	0.7	3	0.2	0.31
Duodenal	1	0.1	0	0	1	0.1	1
Any abdoxminal impary	255	16.5	42	1988	213	15.1	< 0.001

The impact of major operative fractures in blunt abdominal injury J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2013:74:1307-1314

Nickolas J. Nahm, MD, John J. Como, MD, MPH, and Heather A. Vallier, MD, Cleveland, Ohio

- All patients with abdominal injury from 2000 to 2006 (n = 1,834) included ISS ≥18 pts, AIS abdomen ≥3 pts.
- Two groups: fracture group (operative fractures of pelvis, acetabulum, thoracolumbar spine, femur; n=106) – control group (n=91)
- thoracolumbar spine, femur; n=106) control group (n=91)
 Complications 34% vs 18%, including ARDS (8% vs 1%) and sepsis (11% vs 3%)

(11% VS 3%

	$\frac{\text{Pelvis}}{(n = 61)^{**}}$	$\frac{\text{Femur*}}{(n = 47)^{**\dagger}}$	$\frac{Acetabulum^{*}}{(n = 22)^{**}}$	Thoracolumbar Spine (n = 6)**	$\frac{\text{Patients}}{(n = 106)_{+}^{*}}$
Initial abdominal intervention, n (%)					
Angiographic embolization of spleen§	3 (5)	5(11)	1 (5)	0	8 (8)
Angiographic embolization of liver	0	2 (4)	0	0	2 (2)
Definitive exploratory laparotomy¶	10 (16)	8 (18)	3 (14)	1 (17)	15 (14)
Damage-control laparotomy[]	10 (16)	5 (11)	3 (14)	0	16 (15)
Primary repair of bladder††	11 (18)	1 (2)	2 (9)	1 (17)	12 (11)
Urethra realignment ^{‡‡}	2 (3)	1(2)	1 (5)	0	2 (2)
Laparoscopic intervention§§	1(2)	1(2)	1 (5)	0	2 (2)
No. Indoment intercention?*	34 (39)	221664	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4 10 20 20 4	49 (46)



06.08.2013 06:51

Tödlicher Ballon-Unfall im Kanton Freiburg Beim Absturz eines Heissluftballons im Bezirk Greyerz, kam ein Mann ums Leben. Der Ballon war in Château d'Oex gestartet und touchierte offenbar beim Landeanflug eine Hochspannungsleitung. An Bord waren der Pilot und eine 4-köpfige Familie. Der Familien-Vater verstarb noch am Unfallort, die restlichen Passagiere schweben in Lebensgefahr.

Case 2



10:17

- Female, 55y. Hot-air balloon crash (50 m) GCS 5 before intubation
- Primary Survey at admission:
- A: intubated
- B: ventilated, SPO2 99%, stable thorax
- C: Abdomen tended, pelvic binder, RR 72/45 mmHg, HR 120/min. Hb 73. FAST negative.
- D: GCS 3
- E: ok
- Further management ?













Operative Management - Priorities ?

- Mandibula
- Thorax
- Abdomen
- Spine
- Pelvis
- Femur







Management of orthopedic trauma (femur – spine) ?

































Outcome ?

Mi 12.02.2014 06:51

Dear Professor Keel,

Good to hear from you. Thank you so much for all the great work you and your team did on us. We are doing very well under the circumstances. How are you doing? I hope this email finds you well.

My mom is transitioning from using 1 crutch to using a cane. She walks pretty well with the cane. She can also walk unaided, but very slowly and has to concentrate a lot.

My mom plans to return to work (she is a law professor) in August.



Hits in Pubmed ?

- "Traumatic diaphragm rupture": n=654
- "Right traumatic diaphragm rupture": n=180
- "Missed traumatic diaphragm rupture": n=52
- "Missed right traumatic diaphragm rupture": n=25
- "Traumatic diaphragm rupture and liver injury": n=105

Diagnosis of Diaphragm Rupture

- Multidetector CT (MDCT) is the modality of choice for the detection of diaphragmatic injury
- Initially missed diagnoses on CT: 12-63%
- CT to have a variable sensitivity of 61–87% and specificity of 72-100%
- Sensitivity for detecting left-sided ruptures (78%) is higher than for right-sided ruptures (50%)
- Missed diagnosis can later present as intrathoracic visceral herniation and strangulation with a mortality rate of 30–60%
- Cave: increasing nonoperative management for most cases of blunt abdominal trauma

Table 1. CT signs of diaphragmatic injury^a Direct signs 1. Direct discontinuity of the diaphragm 2. Dangling diaphragm sign Indirect signs 1. Collar sign 2. Intrathoracic herniation of viscera 3. Dependent viscera sign 4. Contiguous injury on either side of the diaphragm 5. Sinus cut-off sign Signs of uncertain origin 1. Thickening of the diaphragm 2. Hypoattenuated diaphragm 3. Fractured rib 4. Diaphragmatic/peridiaphragmatic contrast extravasation Modified from Bodanapally et al. (7) and Desir and Ghaye (8).

Diagn Interv Radiol DOI 10.5152/dir.2013.13248 © Turkish Society of Radiology 2013

CHEST IMAGING

Traumatic diaphragmatic injury: a review of CT signs and the difference between blunt and penetrating injury

Ananya Panda, Atin Kumar, Shivanand Gamanagatti, Aruna Patil, Subodh Kumar, Amit Gupta

- CT scans of 23 patients with surgically proven diaphragmatic tears (both blunt and penetrating)
- Discontinuous diaphragm sign: 96%
- Diaphragmatic thickening: 70%
- Dependent viscera sign and collar sign exclusively in blunt-trauma patients
- Organ herniation and dangling diaphragm signs significantly more often in blunt trauma
- Contiguous injury on either side of the diaphragm more often in penetrating trauma (83%) than in blunt trauma (18%).